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ABSTRACT
Analytical procedures for U-Pb isotope dilution analyses at the Pará-Iso isotope geology laboratory of the Federal University of Pará (UFPA) are described in detail. The procedures are applied to zircon, titanite, apatite, columbite-tantalite and whole rock. Reagent preparation and chemical processing are done in clean-room conditions. Samples are dissolved using Teflon™ microcapsules in steel jacket Teflon™ Parr Instrument™ bomb or Teflon™ screw cap containers. U and Pb are separated using anion exchange AG 1x8 resin columns. Typical blanks for mineral sample amounts of 0.01 to 1.0 mg are less than 1 pg U and 20-30 pg Pb. Isotope analysis of the U and Pb from the same filament are carried out using a Finnigan MAT 262 mass-spectrometer in static and dynamic modes. The current analytical level is demonstrated on analyses of international standard zircon 91500 with three different $^{235}$U-$^{205}$Pb and $^{235}$U-$^{208}$Pb isotope tracers and whole rock standards. Results of analyses of two zircon samples are also presented.
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INTRODUCTION
The installation of U-Pb method began in October 1999 at the Pará-Iso laboratory of isotope geology (Federal University of Pará, Brazil). Before this time, the radiometric methods performed in routine were Rb-Sr, Sm-Nd, Pb-Pb on sulfide, feldspar and whole-rock, and Pb-Pb zircon evaporation. During the first two years, the U-Pb clean room infrastructure was constructed and the necessary equipments were acquired. For base procedure, it was used the methodology of Krogh (1973, 1982) for U-Pb analysis of zircon and the technique of Tera and Wasserburg (1975) and Chen and Wasserburg (1981) for analysis of whole rock. During 2000-2001, U-Pb techniques for analyses of whole rock and multigrain fractions of zircon were installed. Along 2002-2003, U-Pb methods were developed for the analysis of single grain of zircon and accessory minerals (apatite, titanite, rutile, tantalite and others). During the first two years, a $^{235}$U-$^{208}$Pb tracer has been used for determination of U and Pb concentrations; the following year we used a $^{235}$U-$^{205}$Pb tracer prepared at the University of Brasilia, and after, a new $^{235}$U-$^{205}$Pb tracer was prepared in the Pará-Iso laboratory. Some improvements of analytical procedures aiming blank reduction are in course.

MINERAL SEPARATION
The laboratory for mineral separation is equipped with Densitest™ and turbulent water flux tube for pre-concentration of heavy mineral fraction, heavy liquids for final concentration of heavy minerals and Frantz™ T-1 separator for separation of magnetic fractions. The minerals are extracted from specimens weighing from 3 to 80 kg by crushing, grinding, followed by a specific separation scheme for each type of mineral.
For zircon separation, rock fraction of 125-250µm is passed through turbulent water flux for pre-concentration of heavy minerals. Another way for heavy mineral pre-concentration for zircon separation is using Densitest® device. For the grain size of 90-125µm, the conditions are inclination 9°, pulsating voltage 12V, air flux voltage 7.5V. For a grain size between 125 and 250µm, the conditions are: inclination 9°, pulsating voltage 13V, air flux voltage 9.0V. After that, heavy minerals are separated with bromoform and washed in ethanol. After separation of highly magnetic minerals by hand magnet, the non-magnetic fraction is separated by the Frantz magnetic separator with a frontal inclination of 20-25° and successive lateral inclinations of 15° (0.5 A), 15° (1.0 A), 15° (1.2 A), 10° (1.2 A), 5° (1.2 A) and 1-5° (1.2 A). Finally, individual zircon grains are handpicked with needle under the binocular microscope. Selected grains are loaded in small drop of immersion oil (N_D= 1.515) on glass plate for digital photo imaging using a Leica DMLP microscope equipped with DC 300F camera.

Some zircon (and other mineral) fraction or individual grains can be polished in an air abrasion mill with pyrite powder following the technique of Krogh (1982). A pressure of 1.8 psi for zircon abrasion and of 1.2 psi for titanite and rutile abrasion is normally used. The time of abrasion varies between 20-60 min, depending on mineral size and resistance. Abraded grains are leached in warm 2-3 N HNO₃ until complete dissolution of pyrite.

Another micro air abrasion mill with a chamber of 10 mm diameter and 5 mm high (design of A.N. Komarov, IPGG RAS, Russia) is used for a rapid abrasion of single crystals of zircon without using pyrite powder (pressure: 0.1-0.2 psi, duration: 30-80 sec).

CLEAN LAB FACILITIES
The clean chemistry laboratory consists of four separate rooms for (1) U-Pb chemistry, (2) acid distillation and water purification, (3) Rb-Sr, common Pb and Sm-Nd chemical procedures and (4) balances. All these rooms have temperature and humidity control, positive air pressure and high efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filters. These clean rooms are equipped with custom-made organic glass hoods, clean boxes with their own HEPA filters and air source and, laminar flow hoods (VECO® HLFS-09M) with class 100 HEPA filters. Laboratory air is not recirculated, and minimum metal is exposed.

The weighting room is equipped with Sartorius® CS-2 and R180D balances. The CS-2 balance has quoted precision of ±0.1 µg, linearity of ±0.9 µg with a maximum limit of 2.1 g. This balance is used to weight small quantity of mineral (< 2 mg) and tracer (1-10 mg). To weight smaller fractions (1-500µg) and single grains, a small hand-made aluminum boat (1-1.5 mg) is used; for bigger mass of sample (0.5-2 mg), microcapsules of Teflon (model of Ludwig) are directly used. The R180D balance, with precision of ± 0.02 mg and mass limit of 33 g is used for 30-100 mg of mineral or whole rock samples.

For dissolution of zircon and some other minerals by method of Parrish (1987), we use Parr Instrument Company steel bomb (Model 4748) with 120 mL PTFE Teflon liner, equipped with two floor PTFE Teflon holder for 12 PFA Teflon microcapsules of Ludwig model (#7226, Savillex®). For other chemical operation (lower temperature dissolution, collection of U, Pb during ion exchange separation etc.), 3 mL and 6 mL Savillex® screw cup vessels are used. Centrifugation is performed in polypropylene 1.5 and 2 mL Eppendorf® centrifuge tubes and 0.2 mL PCR® tubes. Acid are stored in FEP Nalgene® bottles and drop dispensers.

REAGENT PURIFICATION
Water purification is carried out in three main steps: 1) pre-cleaning with cellulose filters; 2) Millipore® RiOs–5 system (pre-cleaning, reverse osmosis), and 3) Millipore® Milli-Q Academic final treatment (organic absorption, mixed-bed deionization, and 0.22 micron membrane filtration). The lead and uranium concentrations in water from our system are 1-3 pg/mL and <0.1 pg/mL, respectively.

The different inorganic acids of Merk® (“for analysis” quality) are multi-step distilled. We have three quartz sub-boilers and four Savillex® PFA Teflon bottle-to-bottle sub-boilers with heating blankets. Each acid is distilled in different ways: HCl is passed twice trough quartz sub-boiler and once trough the Savillex™ sub-boiler; HNO₃ was cleaned only by triple distillation; HBr was distilled 4 times into a quartz sub-boiler; HF was treated 3 times into a Savillex™ sub-boiler, us-
ing principles of distillation by Mattinson (1972). The lead and uranium concentrations in purified concentrated acids are, respectively: HCl: 5 pg/mL and <0.1 pg/mL; HNO₃: 7 pg/mL and <0.1 pg/mL; HF: 5 pg/mL and 0.2 pg/mL, and HBr: 13 pg/mL and <0.1 pg/mL. Silica gel and H₃PO₄ mixture was obtained from Max-Planck-Institute Mainz for Chemistry and used for mass-spectrometric analysis of Pb and U (3-5 µL for each sample; blank of 2 pg of Pb and 0.01 pg of U). This mixture was added in Savillex™ collection beakers before evaporation of samples on hot plate.

**U-Pb SPIKES AND STANDARDS**

In modern U-Pb geochronological laboratories, different types of spike are widely used: ²³⁵U-²⁰⁸Pb, ²³⁵U-²⁰⁵Pb, ²³³U-²³⁵U-²⁰²Pb, ²³³U-²³⁷U-²⁰⁵Pb. The spike with artificial ²⁰⁵Pb isotope was firstly used by Krogh and Davis (1975b). The use of ²³⁵U-²⁰⁵Pb spike, instead of ²³⁵U-²⁰⁸Pb, allows reducing by half the quantity of columns for chemical separation and in three times the quantity of filament locations in mass-spectrometer, which gives a great advantage in economy of time and materials. Other advantages of ²⁰⁵Pb are a reduction of pollution introduced by aliquoting of sample and an increasing precision of lead isotope analysis.

For determination of U and Pb concentrations by isotope dilution analysis, the Pará-Iso laboratory has two ²³⁵U-²⁰⁸Pb mixed spike solutions named “IPGG” and “A”, respectively from the Institute of Precambrian Geology and Geochronology (St. Petersburg, Russia) and prepared in our laboratory and two ²³³Pb-²⁰⁵Pb spike solutions (“B” from the University of Brasília and “PARA”, prepared in our laboratory).

The ²³⁵U-²⁰⁸Pb spike is used for U-Pb analysis of whole rock and sulfide samples and any quantity of mineral fractions higher than 2 mg. The characteristics of spike “A” are: [²³⁵U] = 9.19 nmol/g, [²⁰⁸Pb] = 3.96 nmol/g, ²³⁵U/²³⁸U = 7766 and ²⁰⁶Pb/²⁰⁸Pb = 0.000096. The ²³⁵U-²⁰⁵Pb spike is useful for small fractions (0.001–2 mg) of zircon and other U-rich minerals. The characteristics of spike “PARA” are: [²⁰⁵Pb] = 0.0863 nmol/g, [²³⁵U] = 7.87 nmol/g, ²⁰⁶Pb/²⁰⁵Pb = 0.000704, ²³⁸U/²³⁵U = 0.000123 and ²³⁵U/²⁰⁵Pb = 91.19. Spikes “A” and “PARA” were calibrated using 5 ppm solution of NBS983 and 10 ppm solution of natural U, which were previously calibrated with “IPGG” and “B” spikes. Finally, calibration of spikes were verified and adjusted by analyses of the 91500 international standard zircon.

For determination of mass fractionation of Pb and U in the mass spectrometer, 90 ng of NBS982 and 178 ng of NBS500 standards are used. For calibration of the ion counter efficiency in relation to that of Faraday cup, 220 ng of NBS983 is used in every magazine.

The isotope ratios for standards during 2000-2003 period were:

- **NBS-982** (25 analyses):
  - ²⁰⁴Pb/²⁰⁶Pb = 0.027216 (±0.015%),
  - ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb = 0.467013 (±0.006%) and
  - ²⁰⁸Pb/²⁰⁶Pb = 0.999829 (±0.005%);
- **NBS-983** (46 analyses):
  - ²⁰⁴Pb/²⁰⁶Pb = 0.000368 (±0.170%),
  - ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb = 0.071213 (±0.007%) and
  - ²⁰⁸Pb/²⁰⁶Pb = 0.013623 (±0.042%);
- **NBS-U500** (14 analyses):
  - ²³⁸U/²³⁵U = 1.00037 (±0.015%)

(errors at 2σ level; data corrected from mass-fractionation).

All measured values for NBS-982, NBS-983 and NBS-U500 standards agree with standard values. Thallium interference was controlled on ²⁰³Tl peak by periodic scanning of mass spectrum in 200-210 mass range. At work temperature of 1430°C, all Tl from sample was usually gone.

**DISSOLUTION AND CHEMICAL SEPARATION OF Pb AND U**

**ZIRCON ANALYSIS**

Selected grains, previously photographed and abraded (Krogh 1982), are transferred to small PTFE Teflon beakers. In some special cases, zircon grains can be step-wise leached (Krogh and Davis 1975a, Mattinson 1994, 1997, Corfu 2000, Davis and Krogh 2000). The Mattinson (1994) technique is preferred for complex and metamictic grains. In usual analysis, samples are consecutively washed in bi-distilled methanol with ultrasonic bath (20 min), hot (90°C) 7N HNO₃ (30 min) and rinsed 3 times with pure water. After drying, they are weighed in aluminum foil boat using CS-2 balance and loaded in PFA Teflon microcapsules. When using ²³⁵U-²⁰⁵Pb
tracer, 1-5 µl of “PARA” spike are added into each microcapsule and weight on the same balance. When the 235U:208Pb tracer is used, we add it after zircon dissolution and aliquoting.

In each microcapsule, 10 µl or 30-50 µl of hydrofluoric acid are introduced, respectively for single grain and for multi grain fraction. A Set of 12 microcapsules is arranged into a 120 ml PTFE Teflon bomb enclosed in a stainless steel jacket Model 4748 Parr Instrument, following the method outlined by Parrish (1987). Five ml of HF are added to the large PTFE bomb and the whole assembly is closed and heated 24 h in an oven at 240-245°C. The complete dissolution of the zircon grains is checked under a stereomicroscope and the sample solutions evaporated to dryness. Then, the fluoride salts are digested overnight at 200°C with 30-60 µL of 3N HCl in the capsules and 5 ml in the large bomb.

There are 5 main ways to separate U and Pb from zircon solution:

1) direct analysis without separation of Pb and U (Lancelot et al. 1976, Van Schmus and Chemale Jr. 1996);
2) classical method of Pb-U separation on columns with anionic resin AG 1x8 in HCl and H2O (Krogh 1973, Roddick et al. 1987, Parrish et al. 1987);
3) the Pb-U separation on columns with mixture of specific resins TRU Spec and Sr Spec of Eichrom™ in HCl (Paquette and Pin 2001);
4) single bead separation of Pb by resin AG 1x8 in 0.6 M HBr (Manton 1988), and
5) separation on columns with AG 1x8 in HBr, HCl for Pb and HNO3 and H2O for U (Tera and Wasserburg 1975).

The Pará-Iso laboratory uses either the Parrish et al. (1987) or the Paquette and Pin (2001) methods, both with modifications.

**Description of modified method of Parrish et al. (1987)**

For this procedure hand-made shrinkable Teflon micro-columns with internal diameter of 1.8 mm and height of 11 mm with reservoir for 1.5 ml (diameter is 6 mm) are used. The columns are washed with hot HNO3 and hot water for 3 days and they are filled with 40 µl of Dowex® 1x8 200-400 mesh resin, previously washed. When new, the resin is washed 20-40 times with 6N HCl and H2O, alternately. Between analyses, the columns are washed 8-10 times with 6N HCl and H2O. The resin usually is not disposable because of long period of pre-washing and it can be used up to 20 times, if the lead blank does not increase. For counting the acid volume, 30 ml Nalgene™ drop-dispensers are used, which drop is about 30 µl. The sequence of elements separation with consists of:

Resin media equilibration: 2x3 drops of 3N HCl;
Loading in column: 3N HCl sample solution;
Sample washing: 1+2+2 drops of 3N HCl;
Pb elution: 10 drops of 6N HCl (collection in 3 ml PFA Teflon beaker);
U elution: 10 drops of H2O (collection in the same beaker as Pb);
Addition of 5 µl of silica gel–H3PO4 mixture and evaporation;
Sample loading on Re filament.

Total blanks for this zircon procedure are 20-30 pg of Pb and 0.1-0.5 pg of U.

**Description of Paquette and Pin (2001) modified method**

For this technique, PFA Teflon micro-columns (Savillex model #7272) are used, with 8 mm capillary (internal diameter = 1.5 mm) and 2 ml reservoir. The columns are washed in the same way as for the procedure described above. The resin mixture consists of 0.5 g of Eichrom Sr Specific resin (50-100 µ) and 1.5 g of Eichrom TRU Specific resin (50-100 µ). After being pre-washed in 10 ml plastic column with 300 ml three-distilled 6N HCl, about 22-25 µL of this mixture are introduced into each micro-column in 6N HCl media. The resin mixture serves only for one separation procedure. The sequence of elements separation is the following:

Resin washing: 2 ml of 6N HCl;
Resin media equilibration: 2x2 drops of 2.5N HCl;
Loading in column: 2.5N HCl sample solution;
Sample washing: 1+1+2+2+2 drops of 2.5N HCl;
Pb elution: 4 drops of 6N HCl (collection in microcapsule);
U elution: 4 drops of 0.25N HCl + 0.3N HF mixture.
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(collection in the same microcapsule) and evaporation; Sample loading on Re filament with 3 μl of silica gel–H₃PO₄ mixture.

Total blanks for this zircon procedure are 4 pg of Pb and 0.05 pg of U. This procedure also can be applied for analysis of other accessory minerals (titanite, rutile, apatite), but separation of Ca and Fe from the sample is not ideal.

After analysis, the PFA Teflon beakers are washed on hot plate at 90°C in HF, H₂O, HNO₃, HCl and H₂O during 1 day for each step. Microcapsules are washed in similar manner, but with three-distilled HF and HCl in Parr bomb at 230°C additional washing, during one night with each acid.

OTHER ACCESSORY MINERALS ANALYSIS

Depending on the characteristics of accessory mineral, we different procedures of washing are applied. Apatite is washed with methanol, 5% Na-EDTA solution and water. Rutile, titanite, garnet, other oxides and silicates are washed with methanol, 0.5-2N HCl and water. The mineral fractions may be previously polished by air abrasion mill without pyrite powder.

The U-Pb analysis of mineral small quantities (<1 mg) is carried out with 235U-205Pb tracer. For bigger samples the 235U-208Pb tracer is utilized. For digestion of 10-50 mg of apatite we use 14N HNO₃ in 3 ml PFA Teflon closed beakers. Parrish-type PFA Teflon microcapsules (#7235 Savillex™) on hot plate at 100°C during 6-8 h are used for digestion of 0.2-1 mg samples. For dissolution of oxides and silicates it is used Ludwig-type or Parrish-type PFA Teflon microcapsules or 3 ml PFA Teflon screw-cup vials (depending on sample weight) inside Parr bomb. HF or a HF+HNO₃ mixture (from 60 μl up to 500 μl) is used in the microcapsules or vials. Then, the Parr bomb liner is filled with 5 ml HF and introduced in an oven for 15-20 h at 245°C. Samples are evaporated, and re-equilibrated with 3N HCl during 10h at 200°C in Parr bomb. In case of using 235U-208Pb spike, aliquoting is made and spike is added after dissolution. Some minerals, such as carbonates, phosphates and Fe oxides are dissolved in HCl or HCl-HNO₃ mixture in the 3 ml PFA Teflon screw-cup vials at 100°C on hot plate.

Depending on U and Pb concentrations and weight of samples, micro-columns (the same as for zircon separation) or small columns (120 μl of AG 1x8 resin) are used. The micro-column is used for 0.001-1 mg samples, whereas the small column is used for 1-50 mg samples. Small amounts of titanite, apatite and monazite are processed in micro-columns using the same technique used for zircon (with HCl and H₂O). The oxides and silicate mineral solutions are separated with HBr using a modified technique of Tera and Wasserburg (1975). In the case of HBr medium, the chloride solution is evaporated, 10 μl of concentrated HBr are added and evaporated to dry; then 3-5 drops of 0.6N HBr are added. The HBr solution, after being heated on hot plate at 100°C, stay for about 20 min in ultrasonic bath and is centrifuged in 0.2 ml PCR® tube. The Pb separation sequence is the following:

Resin media equilibration: 2x3 drops of 0.6 N HBr;
Loading: 0.6 N HBr sample solution / collecting solution for U separation;
Sample washing: 2+2+2+10 drops of 0.6N HBr / collecting solution for U separation;
Pb elution: 10 drops of 6N HCl (collection in a PFA Teflon 3 ml beaker);
Addition of 5 μl of silica gel–H₃PO₄ mixture and evaporation.

Uranium can be separated by the same method as mentioned for zircon separation with HCl and H₂O or by the following HNO₃ technique:

Evaporation of solution and conversion of the salts to nitrate by 2 drops 14N HNO₃, evaporation;
Addition of 5 drops of 7N HNO₃, transfer to microcapsule, heating for 30 min at 100°C and centrifugation in PCR® tube;
Column washing 3 times with H₂O and 6N HCl;
Resin media equilibration: 3x5 drops of 7N HNO₃;
Loading: 7N HNO₃ sample solution;
Sample washing: 2+2+2+4 drops of 7N HNO₃;
U elution: 15 drops of 0.25N HNO₃ (collection in a 3 ml PFA Teflon beaker);
Addition of 3 μl of silica gel–H₃PO₄ mixture in a beaker with U and evaporation.

The current blanks for total procedure (digestion, separation with HBr-HNO₃ technique and deposition) for accessory mineral are 60 pg of Pb and 0.1 pg of U.
When using the same analytical procedures for accessory mineral as for zircon analysis, the total blanks are <30 pg for Pb and <0.5 pg for U. The next step for Pará-Iso laboratory will be the implantation of Paquette and Pin (2001) U-Pb separation technique for accessory minerals dating, which will permit to obtain Pb blank level smaller than 10 pg, using current acid quality.

**WHOLE ROCK ANALYSIS**

Fifty mg powdered whole rock samples can be dissolved by different ways, depending on their mineralogical composition: in Teflon steel jacket bombs at 150°C in an oven; in 6 ml PFA Teflon (Savillex®) screw-cup vials at 150°C in an oven or on hot plate, or in special PTFE Teflon bombs in microwave oven. Ordinary digestion in oven normally takes 3-7 days and, in some cases, even more. For dissolution in Savillex® vial it is used 3 ml of HF+HNO₃ (5:1) mixture.

In case of microwave digestion, a BOLA-digestion vessel with PTFE Teflon liner (A250-04 model; Bohlen-dere GmbH, Germany) is used with 4 ml HF+HNO₃ (3:1) mixture. The microwave digestion conditions are: 36 min at 400 W; 3 min cooling, 15 min at 400 W; 3 min cooling. After digestion first steps, the sample is evaporated, and the procedure is repeated. Then the solution is evaporated, 3 ml 6N HCl are added and the sample is processed in the microwave oven at the same conditions as for the HF+HNO₃ mixture.

After dissolution and cooling, the solution is weighed and splitted in two aliquots: 2/3 volume for isotope composition and 1/3 volume for U-Pb concentration. The concentration aliquot is spiked with ²³⁵U-²⁰⁸Pb tracer “A”. Both aliquots are evaporated, 0.6 ml of concentrated HBr are added and evaporated again. Then, 1.2 ml of 0.6N HBr is added to sample beakers, passed in ultrasonic bath, heated in closed beakers at 100°C for 2 hours, and centrifuged.

The separation of Pb and U are carried out on small columns (internal diameter = 3 mm, height = 20 mm and reservoir = 1.5 ml) filled with 120 μl of AG 1x8 resin (200–400 mesh). The Pb separation sequence is the following:

Resin media equilibration: 2x3 drops of 0.6 N HBr;
Loading: 0.6 N HBr sample solution / collecting solution for U separation;
Sample washing: 1+0.5 mL drops of 0.6N HBr / collecting solution for U separation;
Pb elution: 1.5 mL of 6N HCl (collection in a PFA Teflon 3 mL beaker);
Addition of 5 μL of silica gel–H₃PO₄ mixture in a beaker with Pb and evaporation.

The U separation procedure using the same columns is following:

Evaporation of solution for U separation, conversion of the salts to nitrate by 10 drops 14N HNO₃ and evaporation;
Addition of 40 drops 7N HNO₃, transfer to beaker, heating for 30 min at 100°C and centrifugation in 2 ml tube;
Washing column: 3 times with H₂O and 6N HCl;
Resin media equilibration: 3x10 drops of 7N HNO₃;
Loading: 7N HNO₃ sample solution;
Sample washing: 1+5+5+5 drops of 7N HNO₃;
U elution: 90 drops of 0.25N HNO₃ (collection in PFA Teflon 6 ml beaker);
Addition 3 μl of silica gel–H₃PO₄ mixture and evaporation.

The blanks for total whole rock procedure are 0.5 ng of Pb and 10 pg of U.

**MASS SPECTROMETRY**

Isotopic compositions of Pb and U are measured on a Finnigan MAT 262 variable multicollector mass spectrometer equipped with 7 Faraday cups and 1 ion counter. The numbers of Faraday cups are 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8, the ion counter position corresponding to the cup 5.

The lead-uranium fraction mixed with the silica gel–phosphoric acid solution is loaded under HEPA laminar flow on a single outgassed rhenium filament. The transfer of the sample to the filament is done using 1 μl pure water. For procedure with Eichrom resins, the sample is loaded to filament by 3 μl of silica gel – phosphoric acid mixture. The filament is heated slowly from 0.8 to 2.2 A, until glow rose color.

Different configurations of Faraday cups and ion counter are utilized for the lead analysis with different spikes and different signal levels. In case of ²³⁵U-²⁰⁸Pb tracer application, the analysis is carried out in static mode. The signals of masses 206, 207 and 208 are registered on the Faraday cups 4, 3 and 2, while the sig-
nal of 204 mass is received on the ion counter. In case of using $^{235}\text{U}-^{205}\text{Pb}$ tracer, the configuration of this 7-collector mass-spectrometer does not permit the analysis of all necessary lead mass in a static mode, because only three cups adjacent to ion counter (IC) are available in one side. Therefore, the following dynamic mode is applied: first run – 204 (IC), 205 (cup 4), 206 (cup 3) and 207 (cup 2) (measured ratios are 206/204, 206/205 and 206/207); second run – 206 (cup 4), 207 (cup 3) and 208 (cup 2) (measured ratio is 206/208). In case of low signal of lead ($I_{206} < 10 \text{ mV}$) all masses are analyzed on ion counter in peak jumping mode. The ion counter gain is calibrated in each magazine with NBS-983 standard by “IC-deflection” operation and adjusted for 206/204 ratio by comparison with the same ratio, obtained on Faraday cups. The filament with sample is heated during 10 min and analyzed at temperature interval of 1400-1500°C with maximal signal at 1470°C. The total time required for acquisition of 5 blocks of data is about 30 min. The mass fractionation correction for lead is 0.12% a.m.u. $^{-1}$, calculated from 25 analyses of NBS 982 standard.

The U is analyzed as UO$_2$ just after lead measurement from the same filament, by measurement of masses: 267 ($^{235}\text{U}^{16}\text{O}_2$) and 270 ($^{238}\text{U}^{16}\text{O}_2$). Depending on signal level, UO$_2$ is analyzed in static mode on Faraday cups (#6 for 267 and #5 for 270) or peak jumping mode on ion counter. The initial temperature for UO$_2$ measurement is 1500-1530°C and maximal temperature is 1570°C. The time for collection of 3 blocks is 15 min. The mass fractionation correction for UO$_2$ was not applied because of its insignificant small value (~ 0.002-0.03% a.m.u. $^{-1}$) obtained from NBS-500 analyses.

### DATA REDUCTION

The first step of statistical data reduction is carried out using the custom made laboratory software named “Finres2” and developed by Thomas Scheller. This program calculates the measured isotope ratios and their 2 sigma errors. After that, the data set is processed by PbDat program (version 1.24; Ludwig 1993), which give Pb/U and Pb/Pb isotope ratios corrected for blanks, spike contribution and common lead. Finally, the data are processed with Isoplot for Excel program (version 2.49e; Ludwig 2001) and plotted in the concordia diagram. Errors on final results are quoted at a 2σ level.

The measured lead isotope composition of total blank in the Pará-Isoc laboratory is generally close to common lead isotope composition by Stacey and Kramers (1975) model for $t = 0$ Ma. Therefore, for most zircon samples, the correction of measured lead on contamination by common lead by Stacey and Kramers (1975) model gives satisfactory results. For zircon and accessory minerals with measured $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ ratio $< 1000$ it is necessary to do distinct correction on blank and sample common lead, which can be estimated from Stacey and Kramers (1975) model for adequate age or from the K-feldspar lead isotope composition.

The current precision of determination of U and Pb concentration is 1% (2σ), precision of Pb/U isotope ratios is 0.5-0.1% (2σ). The best precision of U-Pb age determination achieved in the laboratory is 1 Ma for 91500 standard zircons and for some other zircons, which have not been included in the paper as, for example, for zircons from Mid Atlantic ridge.

### STANDARD ZIRCON 91500 DATA

For testing the U-Pb procedures installed in our lab, we used the international standard 91500 Canadian zircon. The international standard 91500 is a concordant zircon with an age of 1065.4 ± 0.3 Ma and concentrations of U and Pb of 71-86 ppm (mean value: 81.2 ppm) and 13-16 ppm (mean value: 14.8 ppm), respectively (Wiedenbeck et al. 1995). Three sets of analyses of this standard were carried out using three different U-Pb spikes. The results are displayed in Table I.

The first test was performed using the $^{235}\text{U}-^{208}\text{Pb}$ “IPGG” spike. The chemical procedures include spiking after digestion and aliquoting. U-Pb results from five fragments yielded an upper intercept age of 1065.1 ± 0.8 Ma (Fig. 1), which is good agreement with previously published values for this standard. Some variations on the Pb/U ratios are observed, which are probably due to the high content of common lead or to the fact that the zircon fragments were not polished in the air-abrasion device.

The second test was made with $^{235}\text{U}-^{205}\text{Pb}$ “B” spike. The chemical procedures include spiking before digestion. The zircon grains were abraded. The weights of analyzed samples were slightly lower than those of the
TABLE I

Results from U-Pb analyses of 91500 standard zircons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N</th>
<th>Weight, mg</th>
<th>U, ppm</th>
<th>Pb, ppm</th>
<th>206Pb/204Pb</th>
<th>207Pb/206Pb*</th>
<th>207Pb*/235U</th>
<th>206Pb*/238U</th>
<th>Rho</th>
<th>Age, Ma</th>
<th>Age, Ma</th>
<th>Age, Ma</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>215</td>
<td>0.821</td>
<td>74.1</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>2751±5</td>
<td>0.07492±11</td>
<td>1.8350±30</td>
<td>0.17765±13</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>1054.1</td>
<td>1058.1</td>
<td>1066.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>216</td>
<td>0.744</td>
<td>71.0</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>3851±10</td>
<td>0.07487±3</td>
<td>1.8517±15</td>
<td>0.17937±13</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>1064.1</td>
<td>1067.3</td>
<td>1070.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>217</td>
<td>0.533</td>
<td>79.1</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>9085±16</td>
<td>0.07492±4</td>
<td>1.8500±18</td>
<td>0.17909±15</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>1062.0</td>
<td>1064.3</td>
<td>1066.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>218</td>
<td>0.641</td>
<td>77.7</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>4539±6</td>
<td>0.07490±5</td>
<td>1.8466±22</td>
<td>0.17881±17</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>1060.5</td>
<td>1062.2</td>
<td>1065.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219</td>
<td>0.937</td>
<td>77.0</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>5347±27</td>
<td>0.07491±9</td>
<td>1.8454±27</td>
<td>0.17868±15</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>1059.7</td>
<td>1061.8</td>
<td>1066.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>324</td>
<td>0.234</td>
<td>70.6</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>4170±11</td>
<td>0.07486±2</td>
<td>1.8358±17</td>
<td>0.17961±6</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>1064.8</td>
<td>1064.8</td>
<td>1064.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>325</td>
<td>0.460</td>
<td>80.1</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>8735±33</td>
<td>0.07487±1</td>
<td>1.8358±7</td>
<td>0.17957±6</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>1064.6</td>
<td>1064.8</td>
<td>1065.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>326</td>
<td>0.453</td>
<td>92.1</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>9982±25</td>
<td>0.07488±2</td>
<td>1.8559±15</td>
<td>0.17975±14</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>1065.6</td>
<td>1065.5</td>
<td>1065.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>327</td>
<td>0.410</td>
<td>78.3</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>9386±23</td>
<td>0.07487±1</td>
<td>1.8536±12</td>
<td>0.17955±12</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1064.5</td>
<td>1064.7</td>
<td>1065.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Radiogenic isotopes. / Pb corrected for common lead using Stacey and Kramers (1975) model for t = 0 Ma.

---

Fig. 1 – The U-Pb concordia diagram for 91500 standard using $^{235}\text{U}-^{208}\text{Pb}$ “IPGG” spike.

first test. All the analytical points are concordant and an U-Pb Concordia age of 1064.8 ± 0.9 Ma (Fig. 2) was obtained from four zircon fragments, which is undistinguishable from the accepted value for this standard.

The third test was made with $^{235}\text{U}-^{209}\text{Pb}$ “PARA” spike. Zircon fragments were also abraded and spiking was done before digestion. The U-Pb Discordia age calculated from four zircon fragments is 1066.7 ± 1.3 Ma (Fig. 3), which is similar within errors to the age obtained by Wiedenbeck et al. (1995) and Paquette and Pin...
The larger scattering of data ellipses relatively to those on figure 2 is possibly caused by not ideal calibration of ion counter gain, or by too strong washing of zircon fragments with HNO₃.

The ages calculated from all three sets of 91500 standard are excellent agreement within error limit with the standard value previously published (Wiedenbeck et al. 1995). However, as it was shown on these three figures, the best results in respect to reproducibility of Pb/U isotopic ratios and concordance are provide by ²³⁵U-²⁰⁵Pb spike in comparison with ²³⁵U-²⁰⁸Pb spike. Also, it is necessary to underline the variations in concentrations of U (70-92 ppm) and Pb (13-17 ppm), which slightly exceed the interval yielded by Wiedenbeck et al. (1995).

**ANALYSES OF STANDARDS OF GRANITE GH AND BASALT BHVO-1**

In order to test the ²³⁸U-²⁰⁸Pb “A” tracer for determination of the concentration of U and Pb contents in whole rock samples we analyzed the international USGS standards of granite (GH) and basalt (BHVO-1). The first standard has high U and Pb concentrations, while the second has low concentrations of these elements. The values of concentration for these standards were determined by analytical procedures described above for whole rock samples, and compared with values published by Govindaraju (1989) (see Table II). The mass of standards used for analyses was about 17-18 mg. The obtained values are similar to those of Govindaraju (1989), which permit to conclude that the installed procedures are sufficient for a precise determination of U and Pb contents in whole rock samples.

**TABLE II**

Concentrations of U and Pb in standards GH and BHVO-1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Values by Govindaraju 1989</th>
<th>Values by Pará-ISO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>BHVO-1</td>
<td>GH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U, ppm</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb, ppm</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EXAMPLES OF ANALYSES OF ZIRCONS**

Zircon crystals from two samples of different ages have been selected to test the capability of the Pará-ISO laboratory to furnish confident U-Pb data. One sample came from previously dated metavolcanic rocks of the Carajás Formation (Grão Pará Group) from the Neoarchean meta-
volcano-sedimentary sequences of the northern Carajás Province, eastern Amazonian craton, Brazil. The regional geological setting of the Carajás Province and the main geological features of the Carajás Formation have been exhaustively described (Machado et al. 1991, Macambira and Lafon 1995, Nogueira et al. 1995, Pinheiro and Holdsworth 1997, Trendall et al. 1998, Tassinari et al. 2000). The second sample came from a granitic body of the Proterozoic Khanka Lake superrrain (Far East, Russia). This specimen was collected during regional geological survey of 1999-2000 field seasons. Regional geological background can be found elsewhere (Khanchuk et al. 1995, Rub and Rub 1988, Ryazantseva 1976). The age of this intrusion was suggested to be approximately 480 Ma. based on K-Ar measurements (M.D. Ryazantseva, pers. communication).

The considered examples show the capability of the Pará-Isó Laboratory to analyze multi and single grain zircon samples of different ages with appropriate precision.

The Archean metavolcanic rock of Grão Pará Group, Carajás Province, Brazil

One sill of volcanic rock, concordant with layers of the Band Iron Formation in the base of Carajás Formation (Grão Pará Group) was collected from the N4E mine. This rock is strongly weathered, but has numerous euhedral crystals of quartz and feldspar in the hematite – kaolin matrix. According to the classification scheme of Pupin (1980, J.P. Pupin, unpublished data), this rock was probably a trachyte. Zircons of sample #10979 are prismatic (elongation 2.4) with rectangular or square section, bi-pyramidal, transparent, rose color, without zoning and containing dark spherical inclusions.

The analyses U-Pb of multigrain fractions (10-20 crystals in each fraction) were carried out with $^{235}$U-$^{208}$Pb “IPGG” tracer. A first test showed very low $^{206}$Pb/$^{204}$Pb ratio (60-300), therefore the following analyses were made with previous leaching using a mixture of acids 10N HF + 14N HNO₃ (30 min., 100°C) and by 6N HCl (30 min, 100°C). After leaching, the zircon crystals were colorless, and the dark inclusions disappeared. The $^{206}$Pb/$^{204}$Pb ratio increased to 500-5000, showing that an effective leaching of common lead occurred. The results of U-Pb analyses of zircon fractions are shown in Table III. The seven analytical points defined a discordia line with an upper intercept at 2751 ± 4 Ma (Fig. 4), $^{207}$Pb/$^{206}$Pb ages varied between 2730-2752 Ma. The age 2751 ± 4 Ma is interpreted as crystallization age for the volcanic rock; this age is similar to the age of 2757 ± 18 Ma obtained by the Pb-evaporation method (Macambira et al. 1996) and to the U-Pb age 2743 ±
TABLE III
Results from U-Pb analyses of zircon 10979 of volcanic rock, Grão Pará Group, Carajás Province.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N</th>
<th>Weight, mg</th>
<th>U, ppm</th>
<th>206Pb/204Pb</th>
<th>207Pb/206Pb</th>
<th>208Pb/235U</th>
<th>Rho</th>
<th>Age, Ma 206/238</th>
<th>Age, Ma 207/235</th>
<th>Age, Ma 207/206</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>4940±5.2</td>
<td>0.1848±e5</td>
<td>10.724±11</td>
<td>0.4126±e4</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>2499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137</td>
<td>0.01**</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>598.6±0.7</td>
<td>0.1907±0.13</td>
<td>13.198±20</td>
<td>0.5019±0.7</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>2622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>138</td>
<td>0.01**</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>1089.1±1.2</td>
<td>0.1897±0.10</td>
<td>12.102±29</td>
<td>0.4626±0.11</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>2451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>0.01**</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>784</td>
<td>1037±1.4</td>
<td>0.1903±0.13</td>
<td>13.385±20</td>
<td>0.5096±0.7</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>2656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141</td>
<td>0.01**</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>511.0±0.6</td>
<td>0.1911±0.45</td>
<td>14.210±43</td>
<td>0.5399±0.10</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>2783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142</td>
<td>0.01**</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>571</td>
<td>861.0±1.1</td>
<td>0.1909±0.67</td>
<td>12.967±54</td>
<td>0.4925±0.11</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>2582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143</td>
<td>0.01**</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>459</td>
<td>879.7±1.2</td>
<td>0.1905±0.46</td>
<td>13.407±55</td>
<td>0.5103±0.17</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>2658</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Radiogenic isotopes. / **Small fraction of zircon crystals. Excepting point 95, which correspond to a large multi-grain fraction, the average weights were estimated by volume on the base of microphotography of the grain. / Pb corrected for common lead using Stacey and Kramers (1975) model for t = 0 Ma.

Fig. 4 – The U-Pb concordia diagram for zircon 109797 (metavolcanic rock, Grão Pará group) using 235U-208Pb “IPGG” spike.

11 Ma achieved by ion microprobe SHRIMP-II (Trendall et al. 1998) for the same sample.

THE PALEOZOIC GRANITE OF NEVSKY MASSIF, KHANKA REGION, RUSSIA

Sample #3054 was collected from a biotite granite of Nevsky massif, Khanka Lake region, Far East, Russia. Zircons are clear, rose-brown with well developed prismatic and pyramid faces. Some crystals show zoning and cracks; gas-liquid inclusions are rare. The crystal 3054-10 has short prismatic shape; others have long prismatic form. The single zircon grains, weighing from 2.5 to 9.4 µg, were analyzed using 235U-205Pb tracer. These zircons are characterized by high uranium (3200-5600 ppm) and lead (260-410 ppm) concentrations (Table IV). The 206Pb/204Pb ratio varies from 685 to 1654. The four analytical points lie on a discordia line with an upper intercept at 482 ± 1.8 Ma (Fig. 5), and a lower intercept at about zero (~22 ± 88 Ma). Point 3054-10 lies on the concordia curve. 207Pb/206Pb ages
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TABLE IV
Results from U-Pb analyses of zircon 3054 of Nevsky granite massif, Khanka region, Far East, Russia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N</th>
<th>Weight, µg</th>
<th>U, ppm</th>
<th>Pb, ppm</th>
<th>206Pb/238Pb</th>
<th>207Pb/206Pb*</th>
<th>207Pb/235U</th>
<th>206Pb/238U</th>
<th>Rho</th>
<th>Age, Ma</th>
<th>Age, Ma</th>
<th>Age, Ma</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3054-2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3243</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>0.05679±12</td>
<td>0.5957±14</td>
<td>0.07608±6</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>483</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3054-8</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>3679</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>0.05672±8</td>
<td>0.6042±10</td>
<td>0.07725±8</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>481</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3054-9</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>5678</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>0.05680±6</td>
<td>0.5836±8</td>
<td>0.07452±11</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>484</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3054-10</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>3851</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>0.05679±6</td>
<td>0.6093±11</td>
<td>0.07780±11</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>483</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Radiogenic isotopes./ Pb corrected on common lead using Stacey and Kramers (1975) model for t = 0 Ma.

Fig. 5 – The U-Pb concordia diagram for zircon 3054 (Nevsky granite massif) using 235U-205Pb “B” spike.

varied between 481-484 Ma. The age of 482 Ma was interpreted as crystallization age of Nevsky granite massif. Such ages were obtained for different granite bodies in this region mainly by the Rb-Sr method on whole-rock samples and interpreted as a time of main Paleozoic magmatic activity in the Khanka terrain (Gerasimov et al. 1990, Govorov et al. 1994, Ryazantseva et al. 1995, Khetchikov et al. 1994).

CONCLUSIONS

During the time period between 2000 and 2003, the U-Pb experimental procedures, including mineral separation, chemical digestion, chromatography extraction and mass spectrometry measurements, were installed at the Pará-Iso laboratory and the U-Pb method presently runs in routine. The procedures are useful for dating of whole-rock, multi- and single-zircon and accessory minerals (titanite, rutile, apatite and columbite-tantalite). The analyses of NBS-982, NBS-983, NBS-U500, 91500 zircon and whole-rock international standards showed results which are in good agreement with the accepted values of U and Pb concentrations and Pb isotope composition for these standards. The experimental procedures for U-Pb geochronology have been purposely described in details for the benefit of other laboratories in Brazil and of the customers using or evaluating the data produced in Pará-Iso laboratory. The U-Pb method increases considerably the capability of the Federal University of Pará to utilize geochronology and isotope geology as research.
tools in a wide variety of Earth science projects. An improvement of this analytical technique in the laboratory is currently under progress with testing procedure for U and Pb separation with TRU-Sr specific Eichrom resins for accessory mineral dating. The reduction of blank level is also a challenge for the laboratory.
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